Review: Interactive, but not didactic, continuing medical education is effective in changing physician performance

Davis D, Thomson O'Brien MA, Freemantle N, et al. Impact of formal continuing medical education. Do conferences, workshops, rounds, and other traditional continuing education activities change physician behavior or health care outcomes? JAMA. 1999 Sep 1;282:867-74.

QUESTION

How effective are formal continuing medical education (CME) interventions in changing physician performance and health care outcomes?

DATA SOURCES

Studies were identified by using the Research and Development Resource Base in CME at the University of Toronto, the Specialised Register of the Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group, MEDLINE (1993 to January 1999), CINAHL, ERIC, EMBASE/Excerpta Medica, and PsycINFO and by searching bibliographies of relevant papers.

STUDY SELECTION

Studies were selected if they were randomized controlled trials; if they used formal CME interventions of a didactic, an interactive, or a mixed didactic and interactive nature; if they objectively determined either physician performance in the workplace or health care outcomes, or both; and if $\geq 50\%$ of the participants were practicing physi-

cians. Didactic interventions were those that offered minimal participant interaction (e.g., lectures or presentations); interactive interventions were those that encouraged participant activity and provided the opportunity to practice skills (e.g., role-playing, discussion groups, hands-on training, or problem or case solving). Studies were excluded if they used coercive educational activities or provided incentives for learning.

DATA EXTRACTION

Methodologic quality, participant characteristics, nature of intervention (didactic, interactive, or mixed), occurrence of intervention (1 time or series), educational content and format, intervention group size, physician performance, and health care outcomes.

MAIN RESULTS

64 studies were identified. 14 studies (17 interventions) met the selection criteria. 9 of 17 interventions that assessed physician performance and 3 of 4 interventions that assessed health care outcomes showed pos-

itive changes in ≥ 1 measure. Meta-analysis of 7 studies showed no overall effect of CME interventions (standardized weighted mean difference [WMD] 0.34, 95% CI –0.22 to 0.97). A meta-analysis that included only interactive and mixed CME interventions showed an effect on physician performance (standardized WMD 0.67, CI 0.01 to 1.45). None of the 4 didactic CME interventions altered physician performance. No association between intervention group size and positive outcomes was shown.

CONCLUSION

Formal interactive, but not didactic, continuing medical education interventions are effective in changing physician performance.

Source of funding: National Institutes of Health Fogarty International Center.

For correspondence: Dr. D. Davis, University of Toronto, 150 College Street, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A8, Canada. FAX 416-971-2722.

COMMENTARY

The importance of CME is acknowledged by medical teaching institutions, certifying and licensing bodies, and practicing physicians; but the problem is how to deliver an effective product. In Europe, the trend seems to be toward more formalized CME based on traditional formats (i.e., having formats that are easy to quantify, such as didactic sessions, and paying less attention to contemporary knowledge about how physicians learn and change).

In Norway, we have recertification for general practitioners (GPs) only. GPs who do not meet CME requirements may see a 20% drop in their annual income, but their license to practice is not jeopardized. Linking CME and continuous quality improvement has been a pioneering development (1).

The conclusions drawn by Davis and colleagues in this review are similar to those in their previous publications (2, 3). All are high-quality reviews that examined difficult and important issues. They provide evidence for a redirection of formal CME toward the practice place as a learning arena, the empowering of the learner, and the value of learning that occurs from day-to-day patient encounters.

Furthermore, the broader concept of continuous quality improvement reminds us that other areas of competence (e.g., managerial, social, and personal) also need to be nurtured.

The implications are that CME providers need to re-examine their role and be more supportive of practice-based learning and that any monitoring system must be tuned to support and document activities that have been shown to promote learning and change.

Hans A. Holm, MD, PhD Norwegian Medical Association Oslo, Norway

References

- Holm HA. Quality issues in continuing medical education. BMJ. 1998;316:621-4.
- Davis DA, Thomson MA, Oxman AD, Haynes RB. Evidence for the effectiveness of CME. A review of 50 randomized controlled trials. JAMA. 1992; 268:1111-7.
- Davis DA, Thomson MA, Oxman AD, Haynes RB. Changing physician performance. A systematic review of the effect of continuing medical education strategies. JAMA. 1995;274:700-5.